HCP2005, 4-9 July 2005, les Diablerets Switzerland





Yuehong Xie University of Edinburgh representing the LHCb collaboration



Event Reconstruction and Physics Performance of the LHCb Experiment



Outline

Introduction

- **Event reconstruction capability**
 - Tracking
 - Vertexing and proper time determination
 - Flavour tagging
 - Particle identification
- Physics potential
 - Physics programs
 - **B**_s Mixing
 - CKM angles
 - Rare B decays
- **Conclusions**

Introduction

- Goal of LHCb: look for new physics in CP violation and rare B decays
- Time-dependant measurements: exclusive signal reconstruction, proper time determination and B flavour tagging
- **Requirements to reconstruction:**
 - Exclusive B reconstruction requires good mass resolution
 → need precise momentum measurement up to 100 GeV
 - Very fast B_s oscillation requires a good proper time resolution \rightarrow need high precision of primary and secondary vertex position
 - Flavour tagging and background rejection require efficient particle identification for 2-100 GeV





Track finding strategy



Velo seeds

T seeds

Long tracks \Rightarrow highest quality for physics

Downstream tracks \Rightarrow needed for efficient K_s finding

Upstream tracks \Rightarrow lower p, worse p resolution, useful for RICH1 pattern recognition

Tracking performance





Mass resolution based on the $\delta p/p \sim 0.37\%$ momentum resolution



Vertex detection

21 silicon stations placed along the beam direction, 8mm to beam Two detector halves in each station ٠ Two sensor types to measure R and ϕ ٠ **Track impact parameter precision to origin** vertex: 160 $\delta IP = 14 \mu m + 35 \mu m / p_T$ $< \delta IP > \sim 40 \mu m$ Readout Chips 140 120 IP resolution [µm] 100 80 60 40 20 0 B decay tracks 750 **R-sensor** 500 250 diodes 0 routing lines 0.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 0 1 1.5 4 $1/p_{\rm T} [{\rm GeV}/c]^{-1}$

HCP2005, 4-9 July 2005

Vertex reconstruction



Particle identification performance

- K/π separation is provided by RICH
- Lepton identification is mainly provided by calorimeter and muon chambers

Typical event in the RICH1 photon detectors: Hits from signal tracks and background tracks Reconstructed rings: large from aerogel, small from gas C_4F_{10}



HCP2005, 4-9 July 2005

Flavour tagging

Opposite side

- High Pt leptons
- K^{\pm} from $b \rightarrow c \rightarrow s$
- Vertex charge
- Jet charge

Same side

- Fragmentation K[±] accompanying B_s
- π^{\pm} from $B^{**} \rightarrow B^{(*)}\pi^{\pm}$

Figure of merit:

 $\varepsilon D^2 = \varepsilon (1-2\omega)^2$: tagging power

- **E:** tagging efficiency;
- **ω**: wrong tagging fraction



Tagging power in %					
Tag	B _d	B _s			
Muon	1.2	1.4			
Electron	0.6	0.6			
Kaon opp.side	2.1	2.4			
Jet/ Vertex Charge	0.7	0.8			
Same side π / K	0.7 (π)	3.1 (K)			
Combined	~ 4.4	~7.5			

(Same opposite side performance for B_d and B_s within errors)

Physics Programs

10¹² bb pairs produced in a nominal year (10⁷ s at L=2×10³² cm⁻²s⁻¹) B_d : B_u: B_s: B_c: b-baryons ~ 40 : 40 : 10 : 0.1 : 10

- Measuring B_s mixing to look for new physics effect in box diagrams
 - Δm_s from $B_s \rightarrow D_s \pi$
 - $\phi_s \text{ and } \Delta \Gamma_s \text{ from } B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$
- Measuring CKM angles from different processes to overconstrain unitary triangle
 - $sin(2\beta)$ from $B_d \rightarrow J/\psi K_s$
 - γ from $B_s \rightarrow D_s K$, $B_d \rightarrow D^* \pi$
 - $\gamma \text{ from } B_d \to D^0 K^*$
 - $\quad \gamma \, from \; B \to hh$
 - $\quad \alpha \text{ from } B_d \to \rho \pi$

Red: more details to come

- Looking for new physics effect in rare B decays
 - leptonic rare decays: $B_s \rightarrow \mu \mu$
 - Semileptonic rare decays: $B_d \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu$
 - $\begin{array}{ll} & Radiative \ penguin: \ B_s \to \phi \gamma, \\ & B_d \to K^* \gamma \ and \ B_d \to \rho / \omega \gamma \end{array}$
 - Hadronic penguin: $B_s \rightarrow \phi \phi$ and $B_d \rightarrow \phi K_s$
- Other physics
 - CP violation in B_c decays
 - b hadron spectrum, lifetimes (ratio)
 - charm physics
 - ...



B_s mixing: $\Delta \Gamma_s$ and ϕ_s from $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$

- SM $\phi_s = -2\lambda^2 \eta \sim -0.04$, sensitive to new weak phases in box process
- $\Delta\Gamma_s$: input to other measurements; test of HQET
- Gold-plated mode: 120k events/year, B/S<1
- Admixture of CP-even and CP-odd eigenstates
- Kinematic variables for angular analysis
- Fit proper time and angular distribution simultaneously

 use Δm_s from B_s→D_sπ
- Can be combined with CP eigenstate modes ($B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$, $\eta_c \phi$) to improve precision
- Using untagged samples can achieve similar sensitivity of $\Delta\Gamma_s$

Sensitivity (1 year)	$\sigma(\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s)$	$\sigma(\phi_s)$ [rad]
${B^0}_s \to J/\psi \phi$	0.018	0.06
B⁰₅→J/ψ η	~ 0.025	~ 0.1
B⁰₅→η₅φ	~ 0.025	~ 0.1
Combined ϕ_{s} sensitivity	~ 0.05	

 θ_{tr}

 $from B_{a} \rightarrow D$

- 5.4k events/year, B/S<1
- Measure $\gamma + \phi_s$ in tree diagrams
 - fit four time dependant decay rates $B_s(\overline{B}_s) \rightarrow D_s^- K^+ + cc$
 - use ϕ_s measured in $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$
- Free of new physics contribution to penguin diagrams
- Measured γ is not affected by new physics effect in B_s mixing
- Discrepancy with indirect γ from CKM fit will signify new physics in mixing
- U-spin combination with $B_d \rightarrow D^{(*)}\pi$ to resolve discrete ambiguity
 - 200k events/year



sensitivity in one year:

$\Delta m_{\rm s}$	20ps-1	25ps ⁻¹	30ps ⁻¹		
σ(γ)	14.2°	16.2°	18.3°		
(55° < γ <105°)					

rom B→hh

 π/K

 π/K





$$A_{\rm CP}(t) = A_{\rm dir} \cos(\Delta m t) + A_{\rm mix} \sin(\Delta m t)$$

 $B_{d/s}$

d(s)

• A_{dir} and A_{mix} depend on

- γ

- Mixing phases ϕ_d or ϕ_s
- Penguin/Tree=de^{iθ}
- Use ϕ_d and ϕ_s from J/ $\psi\phi$ and J/ ψ Ks
- U-spin symmetry: $d_{\pi\pi} = d_{KK}$, $\theta_{\pi\pi} = \theta_{KK}$
- 4 observables, 3 unknowns: solve for γ

26k B_d→ππ events/year, B/S<0.7
 37k B_s→KK events/year, B/S=0.31±0.1
 σ(γ) ~ 5°+ uncertainty from U-spin symmetry breaking
 Sensitive to new physics in penguin



 γ from $B_{d} \rightarrow D^{0}K^{*0}$

• Gronau-Wyler-Dunietz method can be simply illustrated as



- Measure 6 time-integrated tree level rates
 - Self-tagged through K^{*0} or K^{*0}
 - Only relative rates needed
 - Big ratio: $|A_2/A_1| \sim 0.4$
- Need to resolve 8-fold ambiguity
- New physics in D⁰-D⁰ mixing could affect this measurement

$$A_{1} = A \left(B_{d} \rightarrow \overline{D^{0}} K^{*0} \right)$$

$$A_{2} = A \left(B_{d} \rightarrow D^{0} K^{*0} \right)$$

$$A_{3} = A \left(B_{d} \rightarrow D^{0} _{CP} K^{*0} \right) = 1 / \sqrt{2} \left(A_{1} + A_{2} \right)$$

$$\overline{A_{1}} = A \left(\overline{B_{d}} \rightarrow D^{0} \overline{K^{*0}} \right) = A_{1}$$

$$\overline{A_{2}} = A \left(\overline{B_{d}} \rightarrow \overline{D^{0}} \overline{K^{*0}} \right) = A_{2} \cdot e^{-i2\gamma}$$

$$\overline{A_{3}} = A \left(\overline{B_{d}} \rightarrow D^{0} _{CP} \overline{K^{*0}} \right) = 1 / \sqrt{2} \left(\overline{A_{1}} + \overline{A_{2}} \right)$$

Mode	Yield	S/B
$B_d \rightarrow \overline{D}^0$ (K ⁻ π ⁺) K ^{*0}	3400	> 3.3
$B_d \rightarrow D^0$ (K ⁺ π ⁻) K ^{*0}	500	> 0.6
$B_d \rightarrow D^0_{CP} (K^*K^-) K^{\star 0}$	600	> 0.7

σ(γ) ~ 8° in a year (55° < γ < 105°, -20° < Δ < 20°)

 $\alpha \text{ from } B_{d} \rightarrow \rho \pi \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$





80

 π^{o} reconstruction efficienty - merged π^0 - resolved π^0



- 14k event/year with B/S=0.80
- ٠
- Time dependent Dalitz analysisTheoretically clean extractionof α from a 9-parameter fit,taking into account penguincontribution and resonant ٠ background
- Statistical precision in one year ٠ **σ(α) < 10°**



HCP2005. 4-9 July 2005

Yuehong Xie

$B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

- SM: Br = $(3.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-9}$
- Can be significantly enhanced in some SUSY models
- Ideal candidate for new physics
- 17 events/year expected assuming SM Branching ratio
- Present limit B/S < 5.7 at 90% c.l. from $b \rightarrow \mu x + cc *$
- Require more MC events to obtain precise estimate of B/S
- Challenges
 - Background suppression
 - trigger and selection efficiency determination
 - Normalization method

* Events with µ from semi-leptonic b decays have been found to be dominating background



Conclusions

- The LHCb detector and software can efficiently reconstruct many different B decay channels with good performance in proper time resolution, particle identification and mass resolution
- This enables LHCb to
 - fully explore **B**_s mixing and decays
 - extract CKM parameters with high precision in different ways
 - perform study of rare B decays which are sensitive to new physics
- LHCb will have a great opportunity to make precision test of SM in flavour sector in order to find new physics or push possible new physics to a higher mass scale, from the first year of data taking.



Back up slides

Systematics control

- Some potential sources of experimental systematics:
 - B/B production asymmetry
 - Charge-dependent detection efficiencies
 - Background asymmetries
 - Lack of knowledge of proper time acceptance, proper time resolution and tagging performance
- Control strategy: use real data to determine wrong tagging efficiency, proper time resolution, proper time acceptance, charge dependant PID efficiencies. Examples:
 - Use buffer tampering to determinate tagging performance in separate trigger categories
 - Use D* sample for PID
 - Use time-unbiased sample to study time resolution and acceptance
 - Fit signal and background simultaneously $(B_s \rightarrow D_s \pi \text{ and } B_s \rightarrow D_s K)$

TDR event yields

		Fa	ctors (in	%) for	ning $\varepsilon_{\rm tot}$	$_{t}(in \%)$	Assumed	Annual	B/S ratio
	Decay channel	$\varepsilon_{\rm det}$ >	$\varepsilon_{ m rec/det}$	$\times \varepsilon_{\rm sel/r}$	$_{ m ec} imes arepsilon_{ m trg}$	$_{\rm /sel} = \varepsilon_{\rm tot}$	visible BR	signal	from incl.
	20	$\varepsilon_{\rm det}$	$\varepsilon_{ m rec/det}$	$\varepsilon_{\rm sel/rec}$	$\varepsilon_{\rm trg/sel}$	$\varepsilon_{ m tot}$	$(in \ 10^{-6})$	yield	bb back.
1	${ m B}^0 ightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	12.2	91.6	18.3	33.6	0.688	4.8	26. k	< 0.7
	${ m B^0} ightarrow{ m K^+}\pi^-$	12.2	92.0	25.2	33.2	0.94	18.5	135. k	0.16 ± 0.04
	$B_s^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ K^-$	12.0	92.1	13.5	36.7	0.548	4.8	$5.3 \mathrm{k}$	< 1.3
	$B_s^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$	12.0	92.5	28.6	31.1	0.988	18.5	37. k	0.31 ± 0.10
*	$B^0 \rightarrow \rho \pi$	6.0	65.5	2.0	36.0	0.028	20.	4.4 k	< 7.1
	$B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \pi^+$	9.4	77.7	18.5	27.4	0.370	71.	206. k	< 0.3
	$B^0 \rightarrow \overline{D}^0(K\pi)K^{*0}$	5.3	81.8	22.9	35.4	0.354	1.2	3.4 k	< 0.5
	$B^0 \rightarrow D^0_{CP}(KK)K^{*0}$	5.2	81.4	29.4	31.2	0.390	0.19	0.59k	< 2.9
CERLINC SINGLASS	$B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+$	5.4	80.6	25.0	31.1	0.337	120.	80. k	0.32 ± 0.10
tCb D	$B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{\mp} K^{\pm}$	5.4	82.0	20.6	29.5	0.269	10.	5.4 k	< 1.0
n and Performance	$B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu\mu) K_S^0$	6.5	66.5	53.5	60.5	1.39	19.8	216. k	0.80 ± 0.10
	${ m B}^0 ightarrow { m J}/\!\psi({ m ee}) { m K}^0_{ m S}$	5.8	60.8	17.7	26.5	0.164	20.0	25.6 k	0.98 ± 0.21
	${ m B^0} ightarrow{ m J/}\psi(\mu\mu){ m K^{*0}}$	7.2	82.7	35.1	69.9	1.462	59.	670. k	0.17 ± 0.03
	${ m B^+} ightarrow { m J}\!/\psi(\mu\mu){ m K^+}$	11.9	89.6	44.8	68.7	3.28	68.	1740. k	0.37 ± 0.02
	${ m B_s^0} ightarrow{ m J}\!/\!\psi(\mu\mu)\phi$	7.6	82.5	41.6	64.0	1.672	31.	100. k	< 0.3
hnical Design Report	${ m B_s^0} ightarrow{ m J/}\psi({ m ee})\phi$	6.7	76.5	22.0	28.0	0.315	31.	20. k	0.7 ± 0.2
	${ m B_s^0} ightarrow{ m J}\!/\!\psi(\mu\mu)\eta$	10.1	69.6	10.1	64.8	0.461	7.6	7.0 k	< 5.1
	${ m B_s^0} ightarrow \eta_{ m c} \phi$	2.6	69.5	15.8	27.	0.078	21.	3.2 k	< 1.4
	${ m B_s^0} ightarrow \phi\phi$	6.7	79.7	37.9	23.2	0.470	1.3	1.2 k	< 0.4
	$\mathrm{B}^{0}\! ightarrow\mu^{+}\mu^{-}\mathrm{K}^{*0}$	7.2	82.4	16.1	73.5	0.704	0.8	4.4 k	< 2.0
	${ m B}^0 \! ightarrow { m K}^{*0} \gamma$	9.5	86.8	5.0	37.8	0.156	29.	35. k	< 0.7
	$ m B^0_s ightarrow \phi \gamma$	9.7	86.3	7.6	34.3	0.220	21.2	9.3 k	< 2.4
	${ m B_c^+} ightarrow{ m J}\!/\psi(\mu\mu)\pi^+$	11.5	89.3	20.7	60.8	1.30	680.	14.0 k	< 0.8

Nominal year = 10¹² bb pairs produced (10⁷ s at L=2×10³² cm⁻²s⁻¹ with σ_{bb} =500 µb)

* The result for $B \rightarrow \rho \pi$ has been changed since TDR time.

Summary of sensitivities

- **B**_s mixing (1 year)
 - − 5σ sensitivity $\Delta m_s < 68 \text{ps}^{-1}$, $\sigma(\Delta m_s) = 0.01 \text{ps}^{-1}$ from $B_s \rightarrow D_s \pi$
 - − $\sigma(\Delta\Gamma_s/\Gamma_s)=0.018$, $\sigma(\phi_s)=0.06$ from $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$
- CKM angles (1 year)
 - $\sigma(\gamma) \sim 14^{\circ} \text{ from } B_s \rightarrow D_s K$
 - σ(γ) ~ 5° from B→hh
 - $\sigma(\gamma) \sim 8^{\circ} \text{ from } B_s \rightarrow D^0 K^{*0}$
 - $\sigma(\alpha) < 10^{\circ} \text{ from } B_d \rightarrow \rho \pi$
 - $\sigma(\sin 2\beta) \sim 0.02 \text{ from } B_d \rightarrow J/\psi K_s$
- Rare B decays
 - $\sigma(s_0/m_B^2)=0.04$ from $B_d \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+\mu^-$ in 2 years
 - $-17 B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events/year to be selected

$B_d \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$

- SM: FCNC suppression, Br ~ 10⁻⁶
- 4.4k events/year, S/B > 0.4
- New physics probe A_{FB}(s): forwardbackward asymmetry in the μμ rest frame, sensitive to some SUSY models
- Experimentally measure A_{FB}(s) and look for intersection point with y=0
- A_{FB}(s/m_B²) reconstructed using toy MC (two years data, background subtracted)

 $\sigma(s_0/m_B^2) = 0.04$

