Phase separation in star-polymer–colloid mixtures
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We examine the demixing transition in star-polymer–colloid mixtures for star arm numbers \( f \) = 2, 6, 16, 32 and different star-polymer–colloid size ratios \( 0.18 < q < 0.50 \). Theoretically, we solve the thermodynamically self-consistent Rogers-Young integral equations for binary mixtures using three effective pair potentials obtained from direct molecular computer simulations. The numerical results show a spinodal instability. The demixing binodals are approximately calculated and found to be consistent with experimental observations.
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Typical soft matter systems, such as polymers and colloids, almost always occur in the form of mixtures. It is the central goal of soft matter physics to offer insights into the generic phase behavior of such systems, which do not depend on the detailed chemical structure of their constituents. In this respect, the study of mixtures of hard colloidal particles and nonadsorbing polymer chains has received a great deal of recent attention, both experimentally [1–3] and theoretically [3–6]. The theoretical approaches to the study of colloid-polymer mixtures were largely based on the Asakura-Oosawa (AO) model, in which the chains are envisaged as noninteracting spheres experiencing a hard-sphere repulsion with the colloids. This model is most pertinent for \( \Theta \)-like solvent conditions for the polymer. It can be mapped onto an effective one-component fluid featuring the so-called depletion interaction between the colloids, mediated by the ideal chains [3,4] and leading to fluid-fluid separation at polymer-colloid size ratios exceeding the value \( q_c \approx 0.30 \). Though the AO model provides an excellent benchmark for such systems, recent theoretical studies [5,6] and comparisons with experiments [2] indicate that the assumption of noninteracting chains lead to quantitative discrepancies between the two. Hence, a systematic effort to derive more realistic chain-chain [7] as well as chain-colloid [6,8] interactions has already been undertaken.

On the opposite end of the polymer-colloid mixture lies the binary hard-sphere (BHS) mixture of large and small colloidal particles. Here, no fluid-fluid separation takes place [9]. It is therefore desirable to consider systems that interpolate between the AO and the BHS models, in order to systematically investigate the evolution of the phase behavior as we move from one extreme case to the other. Mixtures of colloids and nonadsorbing star polymers in a good solvent are such a natural bridge. Stars consist of \( f \) chains covalently attached on a common center, covering the range from simple chains (\( f = 1 \) or 2) to stiff particles for large functionality \( f \) [10–12]. In this paper, we employ recently derived analytical expressions for the star-polymer–star polymer and star-polymer–colloid interactions for all \( f \) values and for a large range of size ratios between the two [13]. Using these expressions, we make theoretical predictions on the mixing-demixing (or “gas-liquid”) transition in star-polymer–colloid mixtures and on their systematic dependence on the stiffness \( f \) and the size ratio of the two components. Our measured demixing curves are in good agreement with the theoretical predictions.

We consider a binary system with \( N_c \) colloidal spheres of diameter \( \sigma_c \) (radius \( R_c \)) and \( N_s \) star polymers, characterized by a diameter of gyration \( \sigma_g \) (radius of gyration \( R_g \)) and an arm number \( f \). The total particle number is \( N = N_c + N_s \). Let \( q = \sigma_g / \sigma_c \) be the size ratio and \( q_c = (N_c / V) (\pi / 6) \sigma_c^3 \) and \( q_s = (N_s / V) (\pi / 6) \sigma_g^3 \) the packing fractions of the colloids and stars respectively, in the volume \( V \).

Experimentally, we studied two sets of star-polymer–colloid mixtures consisting of poly(methylmethacrylate) (PMMA) particles and poly(butadiene) (PB) star polymers with size ratios \( q \approx 0.49 \) and \( q \approx 0.18 \), respectively. PMMA particles were synthesized following a standard procedure [14]. Stock suspensions were prepared either in cis-decahydrodronaphthalene (cis-decalin) or cis-decalin/tetrahydrodronaphthalene (tetralin) mixture as an index-matched solvent. These systems have been established as hard sphere models [1]. The volume fraction \( \eta_c \) was calibrated using the onset of the hard sphere freezing transition, taken to be at \( \eta_c = 0.494 \) and observed as the nucleation of iridescent colloidal crystals. The PB star polymers were prepared by anionic polymerization following an established procedure [15,16]. Star arms were synthesized by polymerizing butadiene with secondary butyl lithium as the initiator. The resulting living polymer chains were coupled to the chlorosilane linking agent having ideally 6, 16, and 32 Si-Cl-groups. The molecular weights \( M_w \) of the PB arms were adjusted to give star polymers with values of \( \langle R_g^2 \rangle^{1/2} = 0.0172 M_w^{0.609} f^{-0.403} \) [17] as close to 50 nm as possible. A linear PB polymer (\( f = 2 \)) was prepared as a reference system. The particles and star polymers were characterized using light and small angle neutron scattering (SANS) [18]. The results are summarized in Table I.
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Table I. Molecular characteristics of PMMA particles and PB star polymers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monomer</th>
<th>$f$</th>
<th>$M_u$ (10^{-6} g/Mol)</th>
<th>$R_c$ (nm)</th>
<th>$R_g$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMMA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>104.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>289.0 ± 4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.0 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB 6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB 16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.45 ± 0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB 32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.11 ± 0.39</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.4 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Small angle neutron scattering (SANS).

Static light scattering (SLS).

Samples were prepared by mixing PMMA suspensions with PB stock solutions. Each sample was homogenized by prolonged tumbling and allowed to equilibrate and observed by eye at room temperature $T=25\,^\circ\text{C}$ [19]. In all samples with $q=0.49$, the addition of polymer to suspensions with $n_c=0.1$–0.4 brought about, successively, phase separation into colloidal gas and liquid (or demixing), triple coexistence of gas, liquid, and crystal, and gas-crystal coexistence. In samples with $q=0.18$, the addition of polymer first led to fluid-crystal coexistence; a metastable gas-liquid binodal buried inside the equilibrium fluid-crystal coexistence region was encountered at higher polymer concentrations [20]. In all cases, demixing started within several hours and crystallization within two days. Here we focus on the demixing transition.

Theoretically we model the thermodynamics of the mixtures on the level of pair potentials between the two mesoscopic components, having integrated out the monomer and solvent degrees of freedom. Thus, three pair potentials are used as inputs for thermodynamically self-consistent integral equations, which are closed with the Rogers-Young (RY) scheme [21]. The colloid-colloid interaction at center-to-center distance $r$ is taken to be that of hard spheres (HS)

$$V_{cc}(r) = \begin{cases} \infty, & r \leq \sigma_c \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The effective interaction between two stars in a good solvent was recently derived by theoretical scaling arguments, and verified by neutron scattering and molecular simulation, where the monomers were explicitly resolved [11,12]. The pair potential is modeled by an ultrasoft interaction that is logarithmic for an inner core and shows a Yukawa-type exponential decay at larger distances [11,22],

$$V_{ss}(r) = \frac{5}{18} k_B T f^{3/2} \times \begin{cases} \ -\ln\left( \frac{r}{\sigma_s} \right) + \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{f/2}} & r \leq \sigma_s \\ \frac{\sigma_s}{r} \exp\left[ -\frac{1}{2\sigma_s^2} (r - \sigma_s) \right] & \text{else}, \end{cases} \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $k_B T$ being the thermal energy. Our computer simulations show that the so-called corona-diameter $\sigma_s$ remains fixed for all considered arm numbers $f$, being related to the diameter of gyration through $\sigma_s = 0.66 \sigma_g$ [12]. However, the theoretical approach giving rise to Eq. (2) does not hold for arm numbers $f \leq 10$, because the Daoud-Cotton model of a star [23], on which the Yukawa decay rests, is not valid for small $f$. In these cases, the interaction inclines to a shorter-ranged decay for $r > \sigma_s$. This is consistent with approaches that at the linear polymer limit a Gaussian behavior of the pair potential is predicted [7,8,24]. Only the large distance decay of the interaction is affected; its form at close approaches has to remain logarithmic [25]. Accordingly, we propose the following star-star pair potential for arm numbers $f \leq 10$, replacing the Yukawa by a Gaussian decay,

$$V_{ss}(r) = \frac{5}{18} k_B T f^{3/2} \times \begin{cases} \ -\ln\left( \frac{r}{\sigma_s} \right) + \frac{1}{2 \tau^2 \sigma_s^2} & r \leq \sigma_s \\ \frac{1}{2 \tau^2 \sigma_s^2} \exp\left[ -\tau^2 (r^2 - \sigma_s^2) \right] & \text{else}, \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)
where \( z = r - \sigma f/2 \) is the distance from the center of the star polymer to the surface of the colloid. The constants are \( \xi_1 = 1/(1 + 2\kappa^2\sigma_j^2) \) and \( \xi = (\sqrt{\pi}/\kappa\sigma_j) \exp(\kappa^2\sigma_j^2)[1 - \text{erf}(\kappa\sigma_j)] \). \( \Lambda(f) \) and \( \kappa(f) \) are fit parameters, obtained from computer simulations where the force between an isolated star and a hard flat wall is calculated (see Fig. 2). \( \kappa \) is in the order of \( 1/\sigma_j \) (see Table II), whereas geometrical arguments yield a limit \( \Lambda_{\text{conf}} = 5/36 \) for very large \( f \).

To access the thermodynamics of the mixture, we solve the two-component RY closure, which is reliable for the one component star polymer system [27] and shows spinodal instability in highly asymmetric hard-sphere mixtures [28]. Monte Carlo simulations using the interactions (1)–(4) as inputs and measuring the structure factors at selected thermodynamic points yielded excellent agreement with RY. The thermodynamic consistency of the RY closure is enforced with a single adjustable parameter \( \xi \); a simple scaling of the form \( \xi_{\alpha\beta} = \xi/\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \), \( (\alpha, \beta = c, s) \) showed only small differences compared to the unscaled form.

The structure of binary mixtures is described by three partial static structure factors \( S_{\alpha\beta}(k) \), with \( \alpha, \beta = c, s \), obtained from RY. Indication of a demixing transition is the divergence of all structure factors at the long wavelength limit \( k \rightarrow 0 \), marking the spinodal line of the system. It is more convenient to consider the concentration structure factor \( S_{\text{con}}(k) = x_c^2S_{cc}(k) + x_s^2S_{ss}(k) - 2x_c x_s S_{sc}(k) \), with the concentrations \( x_{\alpha} = N_{\alpha}/N \), \( (\alpha = c, s) \), which provides the approach to thermodynamics through [28,29],

\[
\lim_{k \to 0} S_{\text{con}}(k) = k_B T \left[ \frac{\partial^2 g(x_c, P, T)}{\partial x_c^2} \right]^{-1},
\]

where \( g(x_c, P, T) \) is the Gibbs free energy \( G(x_c, N, P, T) \) per particle, and \( P \) denotes the pressure of the mixture. If \( g(x_c) \) has concave parts, the system phase separates and the boundary is

\[
V_{sc}(r) = \Lambda k_B T \frac{\sigma_c}{2r} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\xi_2 - \ln \left( \frac{2z}{\sigma_j} \right) - \left( \frac{4z^2}{\sigma_j^2} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left[ 1 - \text{erf}(2\kappa z) \right] & \text{if } r < \sigma_j/2, \\
\xi_2 \left[ 1 - \text{erf}(2\kappa z) \right] / \left[ 1 - \text{erf}(\kappa\sigma_j) \right] & \text{else},
\end{array} \right.
\]

where \( \xi_2 = 1/(\sigma_1^2) \). The thermodynamic consistency of the RY closure is enforced with a single adjustable parameter \( \xi \); a simple scaling of the form \( \xi_{\alpha\beta} = \xi/\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \), \( (\alpha, \beta = c, s) \) showed only small differences compared to the unscaled form.

The results in Figs. 3 and 4 show that theory and experiment are in good agreement. This is brought about without the use of any free parameters in the former, that would allow for a rescaling of sizes or densities. In particular, the same trends are found as functions of the system parameters \( f \) and \( q \). By increasing \( f \) at fixed \( q \) (Fig. 3), the demixing transition moves to lower star packing fractions \( \eta_s \) and the curves become flat. The most important observation from the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( f )</th>
<th>( \Lambda(f) )</th>
<th>( \kappa \sigma_j )</th>
<th>( \tau \sigma_s )</th>
<th>( R_d/\sigma_s )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II. Fit parameters \( \Lambda, \kappa, \tau \) for the effective star-wall interaction of Eq. (4) and the star-star interaction of Eq. (3) obtained from molecular simulation. \( R_d \) is the nonvanishing core radius of one simulated star.

FIG. 2. Effective force between an isolated star polymer and a hard flat wall \( (q = 0) \) for arm numbers \( f = 2, 6, 16, \) and 32. \( z \) is the distance from the star center to the surface of the wall. Theoretical curves from Eq. (4) were compared to computer simulation data (symbols) to obtain the prefactor \( \Lambda \) and the decay parameter \( \kappa \). For better comparison we divided the force by \( f^{3/2} \).
results shown in Fig. 3 is that the $f=2$ and $f=32$ mixtures show qualitatively the same phase behavior, i.e., a phase diagram with gas-liquid coexistence. From this point of view, a colloid+32-arm star mixture still resembles a simple colloid-polymer mixture rather than BHS. However, it is surprising that the phase boundary drops with increasing star functionality. Apparently, 32-arm stars are more efficient depletants than linear polymers.

When $q$ is decreased but $f$ remains fixed (Fig. 4), again a motion of the binodals to lower $\eta_s$ is observed. This trend is opposite to the one predicted by the AO model [see Figs. 2(e) and 2(f) in Ref. [1]]. The phase separation is not a simple hybrid between the AO and the hard sphere mixture but show an alternative behavior that one could trace back to nonadditivity. A careful mapping of the current system into a nonadditive mixture would therefore be of interest. Yet, in view of the fact that the star-star and the cross interactions display soft tails, such a mapping is not straightforward and attempts in this direction are the subject of current investigations.

The absolute thermodynamic stability of the liquid phase will be influenced by the competing crystal phases that may preempt the demixing transition. Here, the exciting possibility opens up that for size ratios $q=0.5$ and $f>32$, colloid-star superlattices similar to those seen in the BHS may be stable, whereas for smaller size ratios and/or functionalities, the crystals would be of the “sublattice-melt” type. In this context, it may be significant that stars crystallize only when $f=34$ [22].

We thank M. Schmidt, A. A. Louis, R. Finken, and A. Lang for useful discussions and the DFG for support within the SFB 237. J.S. is supported by the DFG and A.B.S. by NASA.

[18] The neutron scattering was performed in $d$-cis-decalin using D11 (ILL, Grenoble, France); see experimental report 9-11-684 (2000).