

# STATISTICAL PHYSICS

The questions that follow on this and succeeding sheets are an integral part of this course. The code beside each question has the following significance:

- **K**: key question – explores core material
- **R**: review question – an invitation to consolidate
- **C**: challenge question – going beyond the basic framework of the course
- **S**: standard question – general fitness training!

## 8.1 Liouville's equation for an Hamiltonian assembly [R]

- (i) If  $u(q_i, p_i, t)$  is any well-behaved function of the canonical variables specifying a Hamiltonian assembly, show that its total time derivative

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial q_i} + \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial p_i} \right)$$

is given by

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + [u, H]$$

where the Poisson bracket is defined by

$$[F, G] = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i} \right).$$

What is the total time derivative of the Hamiltonian  $H$  ?

- (ii) Review the derivation of Liouville's equation, for the phase space density  $\rho$  given in lectures. Show that Liouville's equation may be written as

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + [\rho, H] = 0$$

Show that two ways to have a stationary ensemble, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0,$$

are  $\rho = \text{constant}$  or  $\rho = \rho(H)$ .

Can you relate these cases to familiar ensembles?

- (iii) Show using Liouville's equation and the result  $\nabla \cdot \underline{V} = 0$  that

$$\frac{\partial f(\rho)}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (f\underline{V})$$

where  $f(\rho)$  is any function of phase space density  $\rho$ .

Hence show by using the divergence theorem that

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = -k \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \rho \ln \rho d\Gamma = 0$$

8.2 **Concavity [K]** Show that the function  $s(\rho) = -k\rho \ln \rho$  is concave i.e.

$$\frac{d^2s}{d\rho^2} \leq 0$$

Show by a sketch that this implies

$$s(x\rho_1 + (1-x)\rho_2) \geq xs(\rho_1) + (1-x)s(\rho_2)$$

where  $0 \leq x \leq 1$

Deduce

$$s(\bar{\rho}) \geq \overline{s(\rho)}$$

8.3 **Detailed Balance [K]**

- (i) Starting from the principle of detailed balance for an isolated system, show that for two groups of states within it  $A$  and  $B$ , the overall rate of transitions from group  $A$  to group  $B$  is balanced, in equilibrium, by those from  $B$  to  $A$ :

$$\nu_{A \rightarrow B} p_A^{eq} = \nu_{B \rightarrow A} p_B^{eq}$$

- (ii) Deduce that the principle applies to microstates in the canonical ensemble, and hence that the jump rates between states of a subsystem (of fixed number of particles) connected to a heat bath *must* obey

$$\frac{\nu_{i \rightarrow j}}{\nu_{j \rightarrow i}} = e^{\beta(E_j - E_i)}$$

8.4 **Generalised Random Walk and Diffusion limit [S]**

Consider a particle on a one dimensional lattice of sites  $i = 1 \dots L$ . The particle does not experience an external potential, but its diffusivity depends on position:  $\nu_{i \rightarrow j} = D_{i,j}$  with  $D_{i,j}$  symmetric, and nonvanishing only for adjacent  $i$  and  $j$ . (This can be achieved e.g. by having an activation barrier of various heights between each pair of neighbouring sites, with the sites themselves all having the same potential energy  $V = 0$ .)

- (i) Show that the master equation is

$$\dot{p}_i = D_{i,i+1}(p_{i+1} - p_i) - D_{i,i-1}(p_i - p_{i-1})$$

and thereby obtain the continuum diffusion equation for a particle of spatially varying diffusivity  $D(x)$ :

$$\dot{p}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( D(x) \frac{\partial p(x)}{\partial x} \right)$$

- (ii) A certain student asserts that an equally good master equation for a particle whose diffusivity depends on position can be found by having a hop rate  $D_i$  at each site  $i$  (so that the particle has the same rate for hops to the left and to the right from site  $i$ ). Show that this gives

$$\dot{p}_i = D_{i+1}p_{i+1} - D_i p_i - (D_i p_i - D_{i-1} p_{i-1})$$

and hence leads to the continuum ‘diffusion equation’

$$\dot{p}(x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (D(x)p(x))$$

Show that the steady state solution of this equation fails to describe the thermal equilibrium state of a particle in zero external potential.

Explain carefully where the student’s mistake lies. Can you think of a different physical situation which this equation *does* describe?

### 8.5 A Langevin Equation [S]

(i) For the Langevin equation

$$\dot{x} = -\mu\kappa x + \mu f$$

for an overdamped harmonic particle subject to a random force  $f$ , show that the following is a solution (describing a particle released from  $x = 0$  at  $t = 0$ ):

$$x(t) = \mu \int_0^t f(t') \exp[-\mu\kappa(t - t')] dt'$$

(A proof by substitution is adequate, but it would be better to construct the solution by using an integrating factor for example.)

(ii) Show further that

$$\langle x^2(t) \rangle = \mu^2 \exp[-2\mu\kappa t] \int_0^t \int_0^t g(t' - t'') \exp[\mu\kappa(t' + t'')] dt' dt''$$

where  $g(t' - t'') = \langle f(t')f(t'') \rangle$ . Assuming this quantity is so sharply peaked about the origin that it may be approximated as  $g\delta(t' - t'')$  where  $g = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(u)du$ , recover the result that

$$\langle x^2(t) \rangle = \frac{\mu g}{2\kappa} (1 - \exp(-2\mu\kappa t))$$

Sketch this function.

Show, when  $\kappa$  is small enough that  $\mu\kappa t \ll 1$ , that the particle behaves diffusively with  $\langle x^2 \rangle = \mu^2 g t$ . Hence deduce a relation between  $g$  and the mobility  $\mu$ .

Explain why the amount of damping in the system (as set by  $\mu^{-1}$ ) also determines the amount of noise (as set by  $g$ ). [The result is called the ‘fluctuation dissipation theorem’.]

### 8.6 Brownian Motion [S]

For the Langevin equation far damped Brownian motion

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = -\gamma v + \eta \quad \text{with} \quad \langle \eta(t)\eta(t') \rangle = \Gamma \delta(t - t')$$

Show that

$$\langle v(t_1)v(t_2) \rangle = (v(0))^2 - \frac{\Gamma}{2\gamma} e^{-\gamma(t_1+t_2)} + \frac{\Gamma}{2\gamma} e^{-\gamma|t_1-t_2|}$$

and identify  $\Gamma = 2\gamma kT$ .

Obtain the mean-square displacement

$$\langle [x(t) - x(0)]^2 \rangle = \frac{\Gamma t}{\gamma^2} - \frac{\Gamma}{\gamma^3} [1 - e^{-\gamma t}] + \left( \frac{v(0)^2}{\gamma^2} - \frac{\Gamma}{2\gamma^3} \right) [1 - e^{-\gamma t}]^2$$

and deduce Einstein's relation  $D = 2kT/\gamma$

### 8.7 Master Equation [S]

An isolated system can occupy three possible states of the same energy. The kinetics are such that it can jump between states 1 and 2 and between states 2 and 3 but not directly between states 1 and 3:

Per unit time, there is a probability  $\nu_o$  that the system makes a jump, from the state it is in, into (each of) the other state(s) it can reach.

(a) Show that the occupancy probabilities  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$  of the three states obey the master equation

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{p}$$

where the transition matrix is

$$\mathbf{M} = \nu_o \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(b) Confirm that an equilibrium state is  $\mathbf{p} = (1, 1, 1)/3$ .

(c) Prove this equilibrium state is unique.

[Hint: For part (c), consider the eigenvalues of  $M$ .]

### 8.8 Eigenvectors of the Markov matrix [C] This is a continuation of previous question: harder, but worth it...

Find the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{u}_i$  and eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

Show that an initial state  $\mathbf{p}(t=0) = A\mathbf{u}_i$  evolves according to

$$\mathbf{p}(t) = A\mathbf{u}_i e^{\lambda_i t}$$

An ensemble of these systems are prepared, all initially in state 1. By decomposing the initial probability vector  $\mathbf{p} = (1, 0, 0)$  into eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{M}$ , or otherwise, find the proportion of systems in each state, as a function of time.

[Answers:  $p_1 = 1/3 + e^{-\nu_o t}/2 + e^{-3\nu_o t}/6$ ;  $p_2 = 1/3 - e^{-3\nu_o t}/3$ ;  $p_3 = 1/3 - e^{-\nu_o t}/2 + e^{-3\nu_o t}/6$ .]