

# Making PDF files from L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X

There are several schemes for producing PDF output from L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X, each having their own merits (and problems).

The main issues are fonts, which will scale correctly when viewed on screens and graphics support.

## 1 Using pdf<sub>l</sub>atex

The simplest scheme is to process the source L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X file with pdf<sub>l</sub>atex, which directly produces a PDF file with sensible and reasonable font selection which work equally well with text and equations. The main problem is with graphics, in particular *only* PDF graphics files are supported.

To include PDF graphics, the simplest scheme is to use the graphicx package, with

```
\usepackage{graphicx}
```

and then use \includegraphics to include the graphics, for example

```
\begin{center}
  \includegraphics[height=60mm]{GraphicsFile.pdf}
\end{center}
```

will centre the graphics file GraphicsFile.pdf scaled to 60 mm in height.

Note this scheme does *not* work latex or pslatex. Also pdf<sub>l</sub>atex does not generate a dvi file, so the results cannot be viewed with xdvi, or processed with dvips etc.

## 2 Using dvipdf

The next alternative is to make a suitable dvi file and then convert it into pdf. The problem here is fonts, in particular the default bit-mapped crm fonts in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X do not work well and result in pdf files that do not display well on the screen. The solution is to use pslatex which runs L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X but using scalable POSTSCRIPT fonts.

The route is now:

```
pslatex file
dvipdf file
```

will generate file.pdf using scalable POSTSCRIPT fonts.

This route works with included POSTSCRIPT graphics using the normal epsfig or graphicx packages, and produces good pdf files that work well both on-screen and printed.

The known problems are:

1. The scalable POSTSCRIPT fonts are *not* as perfect as the native L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X fonts in complex equations, and some equations need some manual spacing to make them look nice.
2. In equations,  $v$  ( $\$v\$$ ) and  $\nu$  ( $\$\nu\$$ ) are *very* similar.
3. Some, fairly exotic, characters are missing for example **bold** capital Greek fails,  $\Psi$  should be  $\$\\bf\Psi\$$ . You will get an warning message about missing glyph.

This scheme used absolutely standard `tex` files that can be processed using `latex` and also generated standard `dvi` files that can be viewed using `xdvi`.

### 3 Using `dvips`

The final and most “long winded” scheme is to make a suitable `dvi` file, then convert to POSTSCRIPT and then finally convert to `pdf`. This is identical to `dvipdf` but allows you to pre-processing the POSTSCRIPT for example to generate “2-up” format with `psnup`. As above you *must* use `pslatex` to make the `dvi` file to force the use of the POSTSCRIPT scalable fonts.

The simplest route is now

```
pslatex file
dvips -f file | ps2pdf - file.pdf
```

which uses `dvips` as a “filter”, and piped this to `ps2pdf`. Note carefully the syntax of `ps2pdf` the `-` *must* have a space on either side.

Once the file is `pslatex`d then you can then pre-process the POSTSCRIPT file between the `dvips` and `ps2pdf` stages, for example

```
dvips -f overheads | psnup -4 | ps2pdf - overheads.pdf
```

will produce a output `pdf` file in “4-up” format.

Again since we are initially using `pslatex` it works with totally standard L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X files and POSTSCRIPT graphics, however it does **not** fix the font problems 1 → 3 noted above.

## Summary

Clearly there is no “ideal” scheme, my best advice is:

1. Complex mathematics and few/no diagrams, use scheme 1), but remember you will have to convert / save any diagrams as `pdf` before you start.
2. Large number of POSTSCRIPT diagrams, use scheme 2), purists will want to edit some formula, and watch out for black squares.
3. If 2) fails *or* want to do multi-page, then use 3), but watch out for the same font problems as 2).

Will Hossack  
May 13, 2005